PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:

PCT/IL2008/001492

ADVANCE E-MAIL

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.
11 Menachem Begin Street
52521 Ramat Gan

ISRAËL

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 27 May 2010 (27.05.2010)]		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 45192		EMPORTANT NOTICE		
International application No. PCT/IL2008/001492	International filing da 13 November :	nte (day/month/year) 2008 (13.11.2008)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 November 2007 (15.11.2007)	
Applicant	SENG ENTERF	PRISES LTD. et al		

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 45192	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/IL2008/001492	International filing date (day/morth/year) 13 November 2008 (13.11.2008)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 November 2007 (15.11.2007)		
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant information in Form I	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD.				

i.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including this co	ver sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any referento the international preliminary re	nce to the written opinion of apport on patentability (Chapte	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference or I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following items	:		
	Box No. 1	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 18 May 2010 (18.05.2010)		
	The International Burea 34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw	mbettes	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou		
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	,		
To: G.E. EHRICH (1995)LTD. 11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET 52521 RAMAT GAN ISRAEL	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
	(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		,	
-	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 MA	Y 2009	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 be	Plow	
International application No. International filing	k		
†		2007 (15.11.2007)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classi IPC(8) - C12M 3/00 (2009.01) USPC - 435/305.2	fication and IPC	1	
Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD.			
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with	egard to novelty, inventive step and industri	al applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bit citations and explanations supporting	s. I (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive ster g such statement	or industrial applicability;	
Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international	pplication		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internal	onal application	·	
2. FURTHER ACTION		•	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a war a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendm PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from	itten opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is in-	vited to submit to the IPEA the date of mailing of Form	
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Date of completion	of this opinion Authorized o	fficer:	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISAUS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Pacsimile No. 571-273-3201	30.04.2009) PCT Helpdesk: 571-21		
1 desirate No. 01 1-21 3-3201	PCT OSP: 571-272-77	74	

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
i .	With r	the international application in the language in which it was filed. a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.	establi	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been shed on the basis of:
		e of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material on paper in electronic form
	c. tîn	te of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Addit	onal comments:
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: paid additional fees
paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
Inot complied with for the following reasons: This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.
Group I: claims 1-11, 25-36 and 48-51, directed to a holding device for cells comprising an array of spaced picoliter wells, further wherein the holder may be transfucent. Group II: claims 12 and 13, directed to a method of forming a template for a picoliter well array. Group III: claims 14-24 and 37-47, directed to a method of forming a cell holding device having an array of picoliter wells.
The inventions listed as Groups I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
The special technical feature of the Group I claims is a holding device for cells comprising an array of spaced plooliter wells. The special technical feature of the Group II claims is a method of forming a template for a picoliter well array. These special technical feature of the Group III claims is a method of forming a cell holding device having an array of picoliter wells.
The only common technical element shared by the above groups is that they are related to an array of wells having picotiter volume. This common technical element does not represent an improvement over the prior art of US 2004/0219074 A1 to Childers et al. (see para [0015], [0028]) Therefore, the inventions of Groups I-III tack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13 because they do not share a same or corresponding special technical feature.
·
-
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-11, 25-36 and 48-51

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Box No. V Reasoned statement u citations and explanat	nder Rule 43 <i>t</i> ions supporti	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicing such statement	ability;
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6, 11, 34-36	
1.2.2.3	Claims	7-10, 25-33, 48-51	_ YES NO
			_ 110
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE 1-11, 25-36, 48-51	YES
	Claims)-11, 23-30, 40-31	_ NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11, 25-36, 48-51	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO NO
Citations and explanations:			
As to claim 7, Benn discloses a holding of (para [0142]; Fig 31): (a) a pico liter well array region including (b) a non-cell holding region (para [0068] [0280]), wherein fluid can be one or both (para [0081]-[0082]).	device (para [0] a plurality of p j; Fig 6, part 64 added and rer	 in fluid communication (para [0159]; Fig 31) with said pico liter well removed from said non-cell holding region without disturbing cells in said parameters. 	ned regions gion (para picowells
[0139], disclosing a billid fible, Fig 31, pa	in as) beimeen		mier (para
1		ll holding array has an embossed design (para [0170]).	
As to claim 10, Benn further discloses wi	here the pico li	ter well array is embossed (para [0170]).	
Claims 25-33 and 48-51 lack novelty und "Deutsch").	fer PCT Article	33(2) as being anticipated by WO 2005/007796 AZ to Deutsch, et al. (f	hereinafter
essentially of water (pg 49, in 3-19, discinute cavity having a substrate (pg 11, plastics, or rubber).	osing 99% wat In 25-31) and	a generally inert wall (pg 10, in 18-26, disclosing a wall made of cerami	m consisting
wherein the substrate includes a surfu- wherein the surface includes a multip the substrate is substantially translud has a refractive index equal to the re	plicity of pice lit cent (pg 17 in	ter wells (pg 12, in 1-15; Fig 10A-10C) and is characterized in that	
As to claim 26, Deutsch further discloses the substrate has a Refractive Index of 1	where the me .33 (pg 12, in 1	edium comprises water (pg 49, in 3-19, disclosing 99% water solutions) 10-15).	and wherein
As to claim 27, Deutsch further discloses	where the sut	bstrate is moldable (pg 33, In 16-20, disclosing a device made through r	molding).
As to claim 28, Deutsch further discloses			•
As to claim 29, Deutsch further discloses is disposed between the carrier plate and	where the hol the substrate	iding device is a carrier plate (pg 6, tn 24 to pg 7, ln 3) and wherein a fin (pg 43, ln 5-11; Fig 15A-15C).	st adhesive
As to claim 30, Deutsch further discloses Fig 14A-14C).	a second adh	esive disposed between the generally inert wall and the substrate (pg 4	12, In 20-28;
As to claim 31, Deutsch further discloses curable (pg 42, In 20-28, disclosing light-	where at leas curable adhesi	t one of the substrate, the first adhesive and the second adhesive are L ive 3051).	JV-light
As to claim 32, Deutsch further discloses curable adhesive 3051, an acrylic adhesi	where the firs	t adhesive and the second adhesive are acrylic (pg 42, In 20-28, disclosed)	sing light-
******See Supplem	ental Sheet to	continue************************************	

International application No.

PCT/IL 08/01492

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX V(2):

As to claim 33, Deutsch further discloses a light source transmitting the UV-light through a bottom surface of the at least one cavity (pg 42, In 20-28; Fig 14B).

As to claim 48, Deutsch discloses a holding device (pg 6, in 27-28, disclosing a holder) for studying cells (pg 1, in 4-5) comprising:

- a layer (pg 12, in 1-15) of substantially transparent substrate material (pg 17, in 19-28);
- having a multiplicity of pico liter wells (pg 12, In 1-15; Fig 10A-10C);
- having a refractive index of 1.33 (pg 12, tn 10-15); and,

-- a wall structure attached to the substrate (Fig 15A-15C).

As to claim 49, Deutsch further discloses where the substrate is UV-light curable (pg 13, in 8-20; pg 39, tn 12-18).

As to claim 50, Deutsch further discloses a first adhesive disposed between the wall structure and the substrate (pg 43, in 5-11; Fig 15A-15C).

As to claim 51, Deutsch further discloses

- -- a substantially transparent carrier plate (pg 6, In 23-27; pg 17, In 19-26; Fig 13-16);
 -- having a plurality of cavities (pg 50, In 14-19, disclosing picowells) surrounded by walls formed in a first surface of the carrier plate (Fig
- -- wherein the layer of substantially transparent substrate material is disposed on the carrier plate (pg 6, in 23-27; pg 17, in 19-26; Fig 13-16).

Claims 1-6 and 11 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Benn in view of US 2005/0026299 A1 to Bhattacharjee, et al. (hereinafter "Bhattacharjee").

As to claim 1, Benn discloses a holding device (para [0076]-[0077]) for studying cells (para [0113]) comprising a spaced apart (para [0279]) pico liter wells (para [0280]). Benn does not specifically disclose a pturality of arrays. Bhattacharjee discloses a holding device for studying cells (Abstract; para [0066]) comprising a plurality of arrays (para [0007]; Fig 4, 5, 11B). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Benn and Bhattacharjee disclosures by using a plurality of the arrays taught by Benn on a holder. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the references by the Bhattacharjee disclosure, suggesting such a configuration will provide benefits in fluid handling (para (0008)).

As to claim 2, Benn further discloses where the pico liter well arrays comprise embossed regions (para [0170]).

As to claim 3, Benn further discloses pico liter well arrays (para [0280]). Bhattacharjee further discloses at least one barrier (para [0049]. disclosing scores; Fig 11B) between two arrays (Fig 11B).

As to claim 4, Benn further discloses where the arrays are arranged in a two dimensional repeating pattern (para [0295]; Fig 19).

As to claim 5, Bhattacharjee further discloses where the arrays include at least two different well array designs (para [0011]; Fig. 1, 2).

As to claim 6, Benn further discloses where the device includes at least one non-well embossed region (para (0158), disclosing a transfer plate) fluidically connected to at least one of said arrays (para [0159]).

As to claim 11, Benn further discloses pico liter well arrays (para [0280]). Benn does not specifically disclose a plurality of well array regions. Bhattacharjee discloses a holding device for studying cells (Abstract; para [0066]) comprising a plurality of well array regions (para [0007]; Fig 4, 5, 11B). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Benn and Bhattacharjee disclosures by using a plurality of the array regions taught by Benn on a holder. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the references by the Bhattacharjee disclosure, suggesting such a configuration will provide benefits in fluid handling (para (0008)).

Claims 34 and 35 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Deutsch in view of US 4,684,538 A (Klemarczyk).

As to claim 34, Deutsch does not specifically disclose where the substrate is exposed to UV-light under vacuum pressure. Klemarczyk discloses an adhesive that is attached to a substrate (col 1, in 50-62), where the adhesive is cured by exposing it to the UV-light (col 13, in 62 to col 14, in 4) under vacuum pressure (col 14, in 7-25). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Deutsch and Klemarczyk disclosure by curing the adhesive taught by Deutsch under UV light and vacuum pressure. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the references by the Deutsch disclosure, suggesting the use of a light-curable adhesive (pg 42, tn 20-28).

			-			-,,.
nhaqxe	alm 35, neither Deutsch nor Klemarczyk specifically r, such a range would have been obvious to a skiller entation. A skilled ertisan would have been motivate as than those disclosed by Klemarczyk.	d artisan pra	acticina	the Deutsch	and Klemarczyk disclos	sures through norm
******	See the following 5	Supplement	al Shee	t to continue	**************	*******
						
orm PC	T/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2007)					

International application No. PCT/IL 08/01492

Supplemental Box				
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX V(2) and the preceding Supplemental Sheet:				
Ctaim 36 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Deutsch in view of US 3,558,387 A to Bassemir, et al (hereinafter "Bassemir").				
As to claim 36, Deutsch does not specifically disclose where the substrate is exposed to the UV-light under inert gas. Bassemir discloses a curing adhesive (col 4, in 58-69) where an adhesive is exposed to the UV-light (col 2, in 52-58) under inert gas (col 3, in 65-68). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the Deutsch and Bassemir disclosures by using method disclosed by Bassemir with the light-curing adhesive taught by Deutsch. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to use such a method by the Bassemir disclosure, suggesting that curing the adhesive in an inert atmosphere reduces curing time (col 4, in 32-34).				
Claims 1-11, 25-36, and 48-51 have industrial applicability as defined by PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter can be made or used in industry.				